Bench-scale column experiments to study the containment of Cr(VI) in confined aquifers by bio-transformation
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Abstract

Bench-scale soil column experiments were conducted to study the effectiveness of Cr(VI) containment in confined aquifers using in situ bio-transformation. Batch adsorption studies were carried out to estimate the adsorption capacities of two different soils for Cr(VI) and Cr(III). Bio-kinetic parameters were evaluated for the enriched microbial system. The inhibition constant, evaluated using Monod’s inhibition model, was found to be 11.46 mg/L of Cr(VI). Transport studies indicated that it would not be possible to contain Cr(VI) by adsorption alone. Transport and bio-transformation studies indicated that the pore velocity and the initial bio-mass concentration significantly affect the containment process. In situ bio-remediation is effective in the case of silty aquifers. Cr(VI) concentration of 25 mg/L was effectively contained within 60 cm of a confined silty aquifer. Cr(VI) containment could be achieved in sandy aquifers when the pore velocity was very low and the initial augmented bio-mass was high. A bio-barrier of approximately one meter width would be able to contain Cr(VI) if the initial Cr(VI) concentration is as much as 25 mg/L.
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1. Introduction

Many groundwater resources in the world have been contaminated by solid and liquid wastes containing chromium(VI) generated by electroplating, leather tanning and wood preservative industries [1]. Cr(VI) is highly toxic, highly soluble in water, and may be transported over long distances in the subsurface. This transport depends upon geo-hydrological conditions and geo-chemical processes. The contaminated groundwater is usually treated either by chemical reduction followed by precipitation or by biological processes [2]. The first alternative involves large quantities of chemicals and the precipitate formed needs further attention. On the other hand, biological treatment offers an environment friendly and economical alternative. It has been reported in the literature that many micro-organisms, under various environmental conditions, can reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) [3–4]. Cr(III) is less toxic and less mobile, hence needs less attention [5–6].

The biological treatment of groundwater can be carried out either ex situ or in situ [2]. Ex situ method involves costly pumping operation. In situ method involves either the enhancement of native chromium reducing bacteria (bio-stimulation) or bio-augmenting the groundwater with enriched Cr(VI) reducing bacteria. A good understanding of the subsurface transport (advection and dispersion) processes along with chemical (adsorption, ion exchange, precipitation, etc.) and bio-chemical reactions is essential for designing the optimal treatment strategy.

Many earlier works on the transport of Cr(VI) concentrated on understanding only the advection, dispersion, adsorption and geo-chemical processes in different soils through batch and continuous column studies [7–12]. There were also several batch studies on bio-transformation of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) under various environmental conditions [4,13–15]. Only recently, combined transport and bio-transformation studies have been reported in literature [16]. In these studies, the focus has been the transport of Cr(VI) through saturated sand column under the influence of adsorption and bio-transformation. So far, no study has truly considered the effectiveness of chromium(VI) containment in confined aquifers under different hydrogeologic conditions. This information is very essential for deciding the treatment option.
whether in situ or ex situ) and for designing the optimal in situ bio-remediation. It may be also noted that all the earlier studies were conducted in small laboratory scale columns (10–30 cm), which do not realistically represent the interplay between geo-hydrology and chromium containment.

In this work, bench-scale column experiments were conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Cr(VI) containment by bio-remediation processes in aquifers. Effects of (i) ground water velocity, (ii) initial microbial concentration and (iii) aquifer soil characteristics on Cr(VI) containment were studied. Experiments were also conducted to study only the transport and adsorption of Cr(VI) in order to assess the role of bio-remediation strategy in Cr(VI) containment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Soil

Soils used in this study were collected from the I.I.T. Madras campus, Chennai, India. They were surface soils collected from the unsaturated zone. Soils thus collected were sieved, the portions which passed through 4.75 mm were sterilized, cooled to room temperature and preserved in clean plastic containers for subsequent use. For sand column experiments, river sand, which passed through 0.6 mm and retained in 0.425 mm sieves was washed thoroughly with distilled water and oven dried at 100 °C overnight. The soil characteristics were analyzed as per the standard methods [17] and are presented in Table 1. The soils were classified and identified as per ASTM (American Society for Testing and Material) standards, as silty sand (SM, as per ASTM designation D 2487-00), and sand through sieve analysis and Atterberg’s limit analysis. The organic matter content and specific gravity of the soil were determined by chromic acid method (IS 2720 (part 2), 1972) and pyknometer method (IS 2720 (part 3/sec. 1, 1987).

2.2. Chemicals

All the chemicals used in this study were of analytical reagent (AR) grade and were supplied by Ranbaxy chemicals Ltd., Chennai, India. Glassware used for analysis were equilibrated with Cr(VI) and washed with acid solution followed by distilled water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no.</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Value (mass%) Soil A</th>
<th>Value (mass%) Soil B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clay content</td>
<td>6.19%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt content</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sand content</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>2.543</td>
<td>2.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Organic content</td>
<td>0.92%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bulk density (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Nutrient media

The nutrient medium (N1) for bacterial growth consisted of Peptone (10 g), beef extract (2 g), yeast extract (1 g), and sodium chloride (15 g) in 1 L of distilled water. The growth medium (M1) for bacteria consisted of peptone (10 g), beef extract (2 g), yeast extract (1 g), in 1 L of distilled water [18]. The medium (M2) for Cr(VI) reduction experiments consisted of K3HPO4 (0.03 g/L), KH2PO4 (0.05 g/L), MgSO4 7H2O (0.01 g/L), NaCl (0.01 g/L), carbon source (2 g/L) and 1 mL of trace element solution. Trace element solution consists of FeCl2·4H2O (12.2 g/L), MnCl2·4H2O (4.09 g/L), CoCl2·6H2O (0.927 g/L), ZnCl2·(0.37 g/L), CuCl2·(0.61 g/L), NaMoO4·2H2O (0.579 g/L), H3BO3·(0.16 g/L), KI (0.148 g/L), NaCl·6H2O (0.067 g/L), and EDTA·Na2·4H2O (6.5 g/L) [19]. The pH was maintained at 7 ± 0.2 through the addition of HCl or NaOH. Molasses was used as a carbon source. The media were sterilized by wet autoclaving at 15 kPa and 120 °C for 30 min.

2.4. Enrichment of Cr(VI) reducing bacterial strains

Bacterial strains were isolated from the soil samples collected from the chromium contaminated site located at Ranipet, Tamilnadu, India. About 1 g of soil sample was added to 100 mL of growth medium (N1) and incubated for 24 h in facultative condition. The shake flask cultures were closed using Teflon stoppers. Then, 1 mL of the above was transferred to 100 mL nutrient broth (N1) containing 100 mg/L Cr(VI). This procedure was repeated by progressively increasing Cr(VI) concentration in the nutrient medium up to 500 mg/L. A loopful from the above mixture was streaked on agar slants, incubated for 24 h and stored in the freezer at 4 °C for further use.

2.5. Bacterial cultivation and harvesting

The previously isolated culture from the soil was inoculated to the sterile growth medium (M1), kept in an environmental shaker at 35 ± 2 °C for 24 h and was agitated at 140 rpm. The system was in aerobic condition. The harvested cells were centrifuged at 5000 × g for 10 min. These cells were washed thrice in physiological saline solution and were used for the experiments.

2.6. Batch adsorption study

Sorption equilibrium studies for Cr(VI) were used to estimate the adsorption coefficients for soils A and B. Adsorption kinetic study was conducted using 1 g of sterilized soil and 100 mL of synthetic chromium contaminated water with 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) concentration in various reaction bottles. The reaction bottles were kept in a shaker at 140 rpm. The samples were withdrawn at time intervals of 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 360, 420 and 480 min on self sacrificing mode. The samples were withdrawn from the reaction bottles, centrifuged and analyzed for residual Cr(VI) concentration. The equilibrium time obtained from the kinetic reaction bottles, centrifuged and analyzed for residual Cr(VI) concentration. The equilibrium time obtained from the kinetic study was used for isotherm studies. For the isotherm studies, 1 g of soil with 100 mL solution containing Cr(VI) was taken in
several reaction bottles, and kept in a shaker for 6 h (pseudo-equilibrium time) at 140 rpm. The initial Cr(VI) concentrations employed were 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 mg/L. At the end of 6 h, the supernatant was separated and analyzed for Cr(VI) and total chromium. Similarly adsorption isotherm studies were conducted for Cr(III) and lithium. Lithium was used in continuous column studies as a tracer. Adsorption equilibrium studies were also conducted for Cr(VI) in presence of molasses and lithium.

2.7. Studies to estimate bio-kinetic parameters

Bio-kinetic studies were conducted as per standard procedure to estimate the kinetic parameters of Cr(VI) reducing microbial culture [20]. Experiments were conducted with initial chromium concentrations of 0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 500 mg/L. Bacterial concentration of 36 mg/L was added to the media and hexavalent chromium concentration, COD and bacterial concentrations were measured at 2 h time intervals.

In presence of Cr(VI), specific growth rate of bacteria was assumed to follow the Monod’s equation with inhibition [21] as given below

\[
\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S}{K_s + S} \left( \frac{K_i}{K_i + Cr} \right)
\]

where \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum specific growth rate (h\(^{-1}\)), \(S\) the molasses concentration (COD mg/L), \(Cr\) the chromium(VI) concentration (mg/L), \(K_s\) the half-saturation constant (COD mg/L), and \(K_i\) is the chromium inhibition constant (mg Cr(VI)/L).

2.8. Continuous column study

2.8.1. Experimental setup

The schematic of the bench-scale experimental setup used in this study is shown in Fig. 1a. It was one m long and had a square cross section (10 cm x 10 cm). An overhead tank with provision for an adjustable head served as the inlet to the column. A porous plate was provided at the inlet in order to achieve a uniform entry of water into the soil. A collection reservoir was provided at the outlet. Water level in the outlet reservoir was maintained above the top of the soil column in order to maintain saturated conditions in the column. Sampling ports were provided on the sides at four different cross sections at distances 20, 40, 60 and 80 cm from the inlet, respectively. Four ports were provided at each cross-section as shown in Fig. 1b. Liquid samples were collected from these ports at regular time intervals using a syringe.

2.8.2. Transport studies without bio-transformation

The prepared sterilized soil was filled in the column in 33 layers of approximately 3 cm thickness. The column was compacted in vertical direction. Each layer was compacted with 25 blows of a 1.2 kg hammer falling from a 30 cm height, in order to get a more or less uniform compaction. The dry weight of the soil was measured before adding the required moisture and filling the column. This information was used to determine the bulk density of the soil. The porosity was determined using the formula relating the bulk density, and dry weight

\[
\Phi = \frac{1 - \rho_d}{(G\rho_w)}
\]

where \(\Phi\) is the porosity; \(\rho_d\) the dry density of soil (ML\(^{-3}\)); \(\rho_w\) = the density of water (ML\(^{-3}\)); and \(G\) is the specific gravity.
Constant heads were maintained in the head and tail tanks for 6–24 h (6 h for soil B and 24 h for soil A) to obtain a steady flow rate through the soil column. The flow rate was monitored with respect to time by collecting the water at the outlet. The pore velocity was calculated from the above flow rate (pore velocity = flow rate/(area of cross section × porosity)). Once steady state was attained, Cr(VI) contaminated water was introduced into the system through the head tank. Liquid samples were taken from the head tank and all the sampling ports at regular time intervals, and were analyzed for Cr(VI) concentration. Experiment was continued till the break-through occurred at the last sampling cross section. These experiments were conducted for soil A with a porosity of 0.36, for three different pore velocities of 22.4, 11.2 and 5.6 cm/h, respectively.

2.9.2. Extraction and analysis of Cr(VI) and total chromium in soil

For the extraction of Cr(VI) and total chromium from soil, alkaline digestion method and nitric acid/sulfuric acid digestion method as per standard methods (Reference Number: 3030 G nitric acid–sulfuric acid digestion) [22] were used, respectively. The hexavalent chromium was measured colorimetrically at 540 nm by reaction with diphenyl carbazide in acidic conditions. In the case of Cr(III), potassium permanganate was used to oxidize Cr(III) to Cr(VI).

2.9.3. Measurement of cell density in liquid phase

Overnight cultures were centrifuged, and cell pellets were washed with physiological saline water thrice. This was followed by resuspension in saline water and homogenization. The homogenized solution was used as stock solution. Different dilutions were made from the stock solution. A known volume of these solutions was filtered through 0.45 µm filter paper (Milipore, USA) to find out the dry weight of cells. Corresponding absorbance was measured at 440 nm using a spectrophotometer. This information was used to prepare a calibration curve between dry weight and absorbance. For unknown samples, the absorbance was measured at 440 nm and was converted to dry weight using absorbance versus dry weight calibration curve [23].

2.9.4. Microbial quantification

2.9.4.1. Protein estimation. The total protein of intact cells was determined according to the method of Herbert et al. [24]. The cell suspension (0.5 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of 1 N NaOH and was kept in boiling water bath for 5 min. The contents were then cooled in cold water. To this, 5 mL of freshly prepared alkaline copper reagent was added and allowed to stand for 30 min for the colour development. Reagent blank containing 0.5 mL distilled water instead of bacterial suspension was treated in the same way. The optical density was measured at 750 nm using a spectrophotometer against the reagent blank. Known bacterial concentrations were used for preparing the calibration curve.

2.9.4.2. Bacterial cell count. The bacterial cell count was carried out as per standard procedure [25]. It involves serial dilution in physiological saline water followed by plate count. Spread plate technique was adopted in the present study.

2.9.5. Chemical oxygen demand

COD of liquid and soil samples were estimated as per standard methods (Reference Number 5220 chemical oxygen demand) [22]. Closed reflux method was followed.

2.9.6. Lithium

Lithium was analysed using flame photometer (Elico, India) method as described in standard methods (Reference Number 3500 Li B flame emission photometric method) [22].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run no.</th>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Initial pore velocity (cm/h)</th>
<th>Initial bacterial concentration added (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soil A</td>
<td>5.833</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soil B (run-1)</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Soil B (run-2)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Batch adsorption studies

Adsorption studies were conducted to understand the role of adsorption on the transport/containment of Cr(VI) and Cr(III) in contaminated aquifers. Kinetics of adsorption of Cr(VI), Cr(III), Li and COD on the soil matrix were studied using batch experiments. Adsorption studies were conducted at a pH equal to 4.0–5.0 to avoid precipitation. Adsorption was very fast during the initial few minutes and attained a pseudo-equilibrium state at approximately $t = 6$ h. Usually it takes days to attain the true equilibrium. Therefore, $t = 6$ h is used as the equilibrium time for all the isotherm studies. Figs. 2–4 show the adsorption isotherms for Cr(VI), Cr(III) and Li, respectively for soil A. In all these figures, Freundlich isotherm was used for fitting the experimental data because soil is a heterogeneous medium with different functional groups. Table 3 shows the Freundlich coefficient ($K_f$), exponent ($1/n$) and the corresponding correlation coefficient for all the isotherms.

It can be seen that adsorption of Cr(III) is much higher than Cr(VI) as expected. The residual Cr(VI) concentration and total chromium concentration in liquid phase were almost same in case of Cr(VI) isotherm studies. Adsorption of Li is almost negligible, indicating that it is a conservative pollutant, which serves as a tracer to determine dispersion characteristics. Adsorption studies were also conducted for Cr(VI) and Cr(III) in presence of COD and Li to understand the interference of these components on adsorption as it is a non-selective process. High adsorption of Cr(III) was observed when the pH was adjusted to 7.0, which was the pH of the mineral medium used for column studies. This high value is due to the precipitation of Cr(III). It is difficult to differentiate between the adsorption, precipitation, ion exchange and polymerization processes. Also, the focus of this work is on containment of chromium. Hence, all these reactions are considered together as "adsorption" in this study.

The results are presented in Table 3. It is clear that COD affected the adsorption of Cr(III) to a certain extent compared to Cr(VI).

3.2. Batch bio-transformation studies

Kinetics of Cr(VI) bio-transformation by the enriched microbial culture isolated from contaminated soils was conducted. These results are presented in Fig. 5. The enriched microbes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Isotherm constants for soil adsorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Freundlich Isotherm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cr(VI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr(III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr(VI) in presence of molasses and lithium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molasses in presence of Cr(VI) and lithium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr(III) in presence of molasses and lithium (pH 7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr(III) in presence of molasses and lithium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were able to reduce Cr(VI) even when the initial Cr(VI) concentration was as high as 500 mg/L. The Cr(VI) reduction was faster at low Cr(VI) initial concentrations. At higher concentrations of Cr(VI), microbial growth might have been inhibited. Measured residual COD values (results not shown) indicated that the system was working under substrate unlimiting conditions with an initial COD value of 2000 mg/L. In an earlier study, control experiments with Cr(VI) and molasses without any microbes had shown that the abiotic Cr(VI) reduction was less than 2% [4].

3.3. Studies to estimate bio-kinetic parameters

Data from bio-kinetic studies conducted without chromium were used to determine $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_s$ in Eq. (1). Lineweaver–Burk plots [26] were used for this purpose, and $\mu_{\text{max}} = 0.5846 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and $K_s = 3835 \text{ mg/L (as COD)}$ were determined. Experiments for microbial growth rate in the presence of chromium were then used to determine the inhibition constant $K_i$ and it was found to be equal to 11.46 mg/L of Cr(VI). The yield coefficient, $Y_T$ and decay constant $K_d$ were found to be equal to 0.2615 and 0.091 h$^{-1}$, respectively.

3.4. Transport studies with no bio-transformation

To study the role of bio-transformation in the containment of Cr(VI) in aquifers, it is essential to understand the trans-
port of Cr(VI) without any bio-transformation, considering only the adsorption. These transport studies were conducted for soil A, with three different pore velocities. Fig. 6a–d present the break-through curves for Cr(VI) at 20, 40, 60 and 80 cm ports, respectively. Each figure shows the variation of Cr(VI) concentration in liquid phase with respect to time for different pore velocities. It is clear from these figures that the dispersion effect is more predominant than the advection effect when the pore velocity is low, as expected. The maximum Cr(VI) concentration at 80 cm port was almost equal to the inlet concentration when the pore velocity was equal to 11.2 cm/h. Further, the maximum Cr(VI) concentration at 80 cm port was almost equal to the inlet concentration even when the pore velocity was as low as 5.6 cm/h. Break-through curves for both Cr(VI) and Li at 80 cm port for a pore velocity of 22.4 cm/h (not shown here) matched closely, indicating that the adsorption and hence retardation of Cr(VI) is insignificant. This is also evident from the adsorption isotherm constants for Cr(VI) and Li presented in Table 3. Therefore, it can be inferred that adsorption alone cannot significantly retard Cr(VI) transport in the aquifer. Model based parameter estimation using the advection–dispersion equation for Cr(VI) accounting for retardation showed that the dispersivity in these studies was equal to 4.46 cm. The dispersion coefficient varied linearly with pore velocity.

3.5. Transport studies with bio-transformation

Bench-scale experiments were conducted for transport along with bio-transformation in saturated, confined aquifer systems.
Different soil types and different pore velocities were used as shown in Table 2. The pH of the systems was monitored intermittently. The values were in the range of 6.2–7.2. In an earlier study on bio-remediation of soil by authors [4,18], it was found that bio-transformation of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) was most effective in the pH range of 6–8.

3.5.1. Cr(VI) containment with and without bio-transformation

Fig. 7a and b present Cr(VI) break-throughs in the confined aquifer in soil A, with and without bio-transformation, respectively. These figures present the break-through curves at 20, 40 and 60 cm ports. It may be noted that the initial pore velocity for both the runs was approximately equal to 5.6 cm/h. However, in the case of experiment with bio-transformation, the pore velocity reduced with respect to time. It might have been due to the change in the permeability resulting from gas release and microbial growth. Water level in the upstream head tank was adjusted periodically to obtain approximately an average pore velocity of 2.3 cm/h. The pore velocity variation with time is also shown in Fig. 7a. It can be observed from Fig. 7b that, in the experiment without bio-transformation, the break-through (≈95% of initial concentration) occurred at 9, 15, 20 and 25 h at 20, 40, 60 and 80 cm ports, respectively. In the case of experiment with bio-transformation, even though break-through occurred at 10h at the 20 cm port, the Cr(VI) concentration reduced drastically in the next 300 h. In the same experiment, the maximum concentration at 40 cm port was only 8 mg/L (≈33% of initial concentration) and it occurred at time \( t = 125 \) h. The maximum concentration in 60 cm port never exceeded 1 mg/L (≈4% of initial concentration). There was no significant reduction of the Cr(VI) by molasses as discussed in an earlier work [4]. The transport experiments without bio-transformation showed very little containment of Cr(VI) in the soil column. Moreover, adsorption equilibrium studies with soil and molasses showed insignificant adsorption of Cr(VI) though there was some adsorption of Cr(III) (Table 3). This clearly indicates the effectiveness of bio-transformation on hexavalent chromium containment in contaminated aquifers.

In the case of column study with bio-transformation, break-through of Cr(VI) at 20 cm port occurred almost at the same time as that in column without bio-transformation. This might be due to the acclimatization period of microbes present in the system. As the microbes got acclimatized, the rate of bio-transformation and hence the containment of Cr(VI) increased. This is clearly evident from the results for ports 40 and 60 cm. Cr(VI) was contained completely within 80 cm as the bio-barrier distance through which Cr(VI) transport occurred was more.

3.5.2. Effect of velocity and cell concentration on bio-transformation

Fig. 8a–d presents the variation of Cr(VI) concentration at 20, 40, 60 and 80 cm ports, respectively, for the case of transport and bio-transformation experiments in soil B. Results for two different pore velocities of 6.67 and 1.16 cm/h are presented in these figures. It is clear that the effect of bio-transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (ana) (mg)</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (cat) (mg)</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (ana + cat) (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil A</td>
<td>5511.7</td>
<td>15445.36</td>
<td>20957.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B (run-1)</td>
<td>1588.16</td>
<td>4450.47</td>
<td>6038.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B (run-2)</td>
<td>2801.78</td>
<td>7851.4</td>
<td>10653.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4a: Substrate mass balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (ana) (mg)</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (cat) (mg)</th>
<th>TCOD (_\text{bact}}) (ana + cat) (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil A</td>
<td>5511.7</td>
<td>15445.36</td>
<td>20957.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B (run-1)</td>
<td>1588.16</td>
<td>4450.47</td>
<td>6038.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B (run-2)</td>
<td>2801.78</td>
<td>7851.4</td>
<td>10653.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equation (3)
on Cr(VI) containment is significant in the case of low pore velocity. Here, although break-through did occur, the Cr(VI) concentration reduced to zero subsequently. In case of high pore velocity, break-through of Cr(VI) occurred much earlier and also the maximum concentration was almost equal to the inlet concentration even after 150 h.

Pore velocity had a significant effect on bacterial retention on the soil matrix. Initially, the columns were operated with only mineral medium until steady-state flow conditions were attained (6 h). High pore velocity resulted in significant bacterial cell wash out during this initial period. The bacterial concentration reduced from 0.021 to 0.005 mg/g in the case of column with high pore velocity, while it reduced from 0.04 to 0.027 mg/g in the case of column with low pore velocity. Thus column with low pore velocity had an advantage over the column with high pore velocity since the rate of any biological activity depends upon the bio-mass concentration. Cr(VI) containment in the case of column with low pore velocity was delayed to some extent because the bacteria introduced to the system might have taken time to get acclimatized. It can be seen from Fig. 8a–d that the rate of Cr(VI) containment increased with respect to time because of corresponding increase in bio-mass concentration in the system. It is clear from these results that initial bio-mass concentration as well as the pore velocity play a significant role in Cr(VI) containment in contaminated aquifers.

Mass balance was made for the system with respect to chromium, bio-mass and organic matter. These results are summarized in Tables 4a and 4b. Following equations were used for this purpose.

3.5.2.1. Mass balance equation for substrate. Total COD entered–total COD left + COD present in the system = COD consumed by bacteria + COD adsorbed by soil

\[
\int_0^t (\text{COD}_i - \text{COD}_o) Q_{\text{out}} \, dt + \int_0^t (\text{COD}_o - \text{COD}_{\text{bio}}) \, dt = \text{COD}_{\text{bio}} \times V_c + \text{COD}_{\text{soil}} \times V_c
\]

where \(\text{COD}_i\) is the inlet COD, \(\text{COD}_o\) the outlet COD, \(\text{COD}_{\text{bio}}\) the average COD of the samples, \(V_c\) the volume of the column, \(\text{COD}_{\text{bio}}\) the total COD consumed by bacteria for metabolism, \(\text{COD}_{\text{soil}}\) the total COD of soil, \(\text{COD}_{\text{bio}}\) is the total COD consumed for bacterial anabolism, \(Q_{\text{out}}\) the outlet flow rate, and \(\text{COD}_{\text{bio}}\) initial soil COD.

3.5.2.2. Mass balance equation for total chromium. Total Cr(VI) entered – total Cr left = total Cr retained in the column

\[
\int_0^t (\text{Cr}(\text{VI})_i - \text{Cr}_o) Q_{\text{out}} \, dt + \int_0^t (\text{Cr}(\text{VI})_o - \text{Cr}_{\text{av}}) \, dt \times V_c = \text{total Cr} \times V_c
\]

where \(\text{Cr}(\text{VI})_i\) is the inlet Cr(VI) concentration, \(\text{Cr}_o\) the outlet total Cr concentration, and \(\text{Cr}_{\text{av}}\) is the average total Cr concentration of the samples. It can be seen from these tables that mass balances for Cr and COD are satisfactory (±7% error).

3.5.3. Cr(VI) containment in aquifer with different soils

Fig. 7a and Fig. 9 shows the variation of concentration of Cr(VI) with time for the transport and bio-transformation in soils A and B, respectively. Cr(VI) concentrations at 20, 40 and 60 cm ports are shown in these figures. Though initial bacterial concentrations and initial pore water velocities were approximately the same in both the experiments (bacterial conc \(\approx 0.0295\) mg/g and pore water velocity \(\approx 6\) cm/h), Cr(VI) was effectively contained in aquifer with soil A, whereas there was only negligible containment in the case of aquifer with soil B. This was because there was more bacterial retention in soil A (concentration \(\approx 0.0148\) mg/g) compared to that in soil B (concentration \(\approx 0.0053\) mg/g), at the start of bio-transformation process. It may
be inferred from these results that it is easier to contain Cr(VI) in silty aquifers as compared to sandy aquifers.

3.6. Strategies for Cr(VI) containment in contaminated aquifers

Results from the present study shed light on the strategies to be adopted for containing Cr(VI) in aquifers. It can be inferred that it is a better choice to utilize pump and treat technology in case of sandy aquifers with high hydraulic gradients in ground water levels. Pore velocities in such cases would be very high and it would be hard to retain the bio-mass on the soil matrix. Subsequently, the advective effect would be more predominant than the bio-transformation effect, potentially leading to ineffective containment in case of in situ strategies.

The in situ bio-transformation strategy can be adopted for silty aquifers. Initial bio-mass concentration is a significant parameter in this case. It can be inferred from this study that it is a better choice to utilize bio-augmentation to have effective faster containment. This bio-augmentation can be implemented using a bio-barrier of a short width transecting the flow path. Based on the results of this study, width of the bio-barrier could be as small as 1 m if the Cr(VI) concentration is in the range of 1–25 mg/L.

4. Conclusions

Batch adsorption and bench-scale column transport studies indicated that it may not be possible to contain Cr(VI) in aquifers using only adsorption. Bio-kinetic parameters were evaluated for the enriched microbial consortium and the inhibitory Cr(VI) concentration was estimated using the Monod’s inhibition model. Bench-scale transport and bio-transformation studies showed that bio-transformation is an effective way of chromium containment in contaminated aquifers. Most significant parameters in the containment of Cr(VI) through bio-transformation are pore water velocity and the initial bio-mass concentration. Containment is more effective in aquifers with silty soils. Bio-barriers of relatively small thickness with bio-augmentation can effectively contain the Cr(VI) in saturated confined aquifers.
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